



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/874,479 06/04/2001		Shell S. Simpson	10007656-1	5359
7590 08/19/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			DUONG, OANH L	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Br	ief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
09/874,479	ŚIMPSON ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Oanh Duong	2155				

Advisory Action	09/874,479	SIMPSON ET AL.	IMPSON ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Oanh Duong	2155 .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO</li> </ul>						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	):					
the non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-51</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. In the request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See official and the stacked Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
13. Other: BHARAT BAROT						
	PR	BHARAT BAROT IIMARY EXAMINER	I			



Application/Control Number: 09/874,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 08/04/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, applicants argued in substances that

(A) Prior art does not teach or suggest query the local network for information about the current environment or checking for services that are available on the local network.

As to point (A), prior art does teach query the local network for information about the current environment or checking for services that are available on the local network. For example, Martin teaches query the local network for information about a current environment (i.e., determine device characteristics of a mobile device used by the requester and produce links to available services based on the device characteristics, col. 3 lines 27-34). Rappaport teaches checking for services that are available on network (i.e., running a set of queries on the World Wide Web to identify services, page 2 paragraph 23 lines 13-16).

(**B**) Applicants request examiner to clarify which computer of prior art is equated to the computer of claim 41.

As to point (**B**), Frigon teaches a computer (i.e., computer 100, Fig. 1) comprising a network browser that is configured to receive network content (i.e., browser 105, Fig. 1), and a personal image repository that is configured to store imaging data (i.e., the photos stored on a person computer, paragraph 39 line 9-12).

In addition, the recitation "a computer" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

(C) Prior art does not teach or suggest a thin portal service that is configured to query a local network for information about a current environment, or check for imaging services that are available on a local network.

As to point (**C**), Smith does teach a thin portal service (i.e., advertising platform/web portal 12 or WAP portal 49, Figs 1 and 12A) that is configured to query a local network for information about a current environment (i.e., client's characteristics, Fig. 5B page 7 paragraph 94 lines 8-11), or checking for services that are available on a local network (page 11 paragraph 140 lines 10-12, Smith discloses portal returns a result from the query which lists the available services and links).

Art Unit: 2155

In addition, in response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

(**D**) Applicants requests that examiner explicitly identify where the teachings cited by examiner are disclosed in the provisional application.

As to point (**D**), in provisional applications

Frigon: Figs: 1-2, 4 and 6, from page 6 line 21 to page 7 last paragraph.

Smith: Figs: 1, 5B and 12A, page 20 line 25 and page 35 lines 3-5.

(E) Improper treatment of claim 29.

As to point (E), in the Final Office Action dated on June 03, 2005, claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin, Jr. et al. (Matin) in view of Rappaport.

Martin teaches the request from the network browser is input by a user of the browser (i.e., receiving a request for the web page from a requester, col. 13 lines 44-45).

Application/Control Number: 09/874,479

Art Unit: 2155

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Oanh Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-3983. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 2:00PM - 10:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O.D

August 15, 2005

Shoont Borot.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5